

Dear Reviewer, please fill out this form and send the completed review to publications@pradec.eu. In case there are any remarks, please write them on the second page of this form. The review will be given anonymously to the author of the original work.

ATI, PRADEC Publishing | www.ati.pradec.eu



ATI Review form

Homepage: / www.ati.pradec.eu / PRADEC Publishing standards

Indexed by APPlatforms: www.academicpublishingplatforms.com

Google scholar profile:

<https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=zPA8jbUAAAJ&hl=en>

Title:

Evaluation I: Basics

1. Language is clear	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> acceptable	<input type="checkbox"/> requires improving	
2. Type of analysis	<input type="checkbox"/> theoretical	<input type="checkbox"/> applied	<input type="checkbox"/> research	<input type="checkbox"/> case study
			<input type="checkbox"/> review	<input type="checkbox"/> other
3. Matching to the journal scope and mission	<input type="checkbox"/> yes	<input type="checkbox"/> moderate	<input type="checkbox"/> no	
4. Is the paper free from personalities, and special or commercial interests?	<input type="checkbox"/> yes, it is free of such interests	<input type="checkbox"/> moderate	<input type="checkbox"/> no	

Evaluation II: Methodology

	Yes	Moderate	No
1. The research objective is clear	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. The study makes the contribution to the existing literature	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. The theoretical background is sufficiently described or referenced	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. The methods are appropriate, sufficiently described or referenced	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. The data set is sufficiently described or referenced	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Conclusions are correctly justified	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. The paper provides directions for further research	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Evaluation III: Structure and design

	Accept	Revise
1. Abstract	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Division	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Comprehensibility	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Extent	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Title	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Figures, tables	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Terminology	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Formatting in respect to the template	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Dear Reviewer, please fill out this form and send the completed review to publications@pradec.eu. In case there are any remarks, please write them on the second page of this form. The review will be given anonymously to the author of the original work.

ATI, PRADEC Publishing | www.ati.pradec.eu

Evaluation IV: Style

	Yes	Moderate	No
1. Main points of the paper sufficiently developed	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Paragraphs more or less cohesive	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. References are accurate and relevant	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Argumentation is organized effectively. Ideas follow each other in a logical, understandable way	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Special terms, concepts, abbreviations are used in conventional way	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Charts and tables support the analysis and textual reasoning	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Evaluation V: Comments and remarks

Final conclusion:

publish publish with minor revision publish with major revision reject